Addenda et corrigenda to CIMAGL 3-56

Sten Ebbesen

With a few exceptions the following comments regard papers on logic, grammar or rhetoric, with special attention paid to papers by myself.

‘x -> y’ = instead of x, read y

CIMAGL 3 (1970)
Much of the information provided in this issue has been rendered obsolete by subsequent work. Besides, the issue suffers from unusually sloppy typing and proof-reading. I only correct some particularly misleading errors.


p. 7 For the questions on Porphyry and Arist. Cat., see Pinborg in CIMAGL 9 (1972) and 14 (1975), Andrews in CIMAGL 55-56 (1987-88)

p. 8 Boethius’ questions on the Topics have been edited by N.J.Green-Pedersen & J.Pinborg in Corpus Philosophorum Danicorum Medii Aevi VI.1, Copenhagen 1976. The questions on the Sophistici Elenchii were edited by S.Ebbesen in Corpus Philosophorum Danicorum Medii Aevi VII, Copenhagen 1977.

pp. 8-12 An edition of the sophismata is in preparation for Corpus Philosophorum Danicorum Medii Aevi IX.


The correct title of sophisma 5 is "Omnis phoenix est"
The correct title of sophisma 10 is "Albus pedem est animalia"
Sophisma 11 has been published in CIMAGL 56 (1988) 103-116.

p. 15, line 71 Ergo nec nunc cum non -> Ergo nec nunc, cum non sint plus erant tunc.

pp. 17-22 Corrections gathered from another ms were provided by Pinborg in CIMAGL 10 (1973) 60-62

p. 21, line 51 ratio hominis. -> respectu hominis.
CIMAGL 3 (1970) ctd'
pp. 29–34 A better text is found in Corpus Philosophorum Danicorum Medii Aevi VII, Copenhagen 1977. There the question has the number 92.

CIMAGL 6 (1971)

CIMAGL 7 (1971)

CIMAGL 8 (1972)


CIMAGL 9 (1972)
pp. 68–73 See addenda and corrigenda in CIMAGL 34 (1979) xxxvii sq.

pp. 80–82 Later, Pinborg and I found complete copies of Radulphus Brito’s Quaestiones super Elenchos in mss Bruxelles 3540–47: 480r A–543v A and Salamanca BU 2350: 160r A–197r B, as well as a fragment in Paris BN lat. 11133: 7r, and some extracts in Erlangen UB 194: 1–8v. Moreover, an index of questions from a lost ms that belonged to Santa Maria Novella in Florence is preserved in ms Firenze BNC Conv. Soppr. E.1.252. A lost manuscript was used by Gennadios Scholarios for his Greek translation of the prologue [see Ebbesen & Pinborg in Classica et Mediaevalia 33 (1981–82) 263–319].

CIMAGL 10 (1973)
pp. 1–20 There are several errors in the excerpts from ms Paris BN lat. 4720 A. A new edition of the whole work is being prepared by S. Ebbesen and Y. Iwakuma. Some other errors of this paper were corrected in CIMAGL 10 (1973) xxxviii.
CIMAGL 10 (1975) ctd'


pp. 38–40 Marsilius' of Inghen's Questions on the *Elenchi* are also found in mss Erfurt WAB 4°262 and 4°275. The question numbers given in my list as "Text N°" are correct; the cause of the confusion is that the Venice ms omits the initial *rationes* of qu. 9. The first eleven questions are:

1. U. de syllogismo sophistico possit esse scientia
2. An syllogismus sophisticus sit subjectum huius scientiae
3. U. syllogismus sophisticus peccet contra syllogismum simpliciter
5. U. sit nescesse unum nomen plura significare
6. U. quatuor sint genera disputationum nec plura nec pauciora
7. U. sophista magis debeat appetere apparentem sapientiam et non existentem quam existentem et non apparentem
8. U. quinque sint metae et non plures nec pauciores
9. U. divisio fallaciuram sit bona qua dividitur in f. in dictione et in f. extra dictionem.
10. U. omnis fallacia in dictione fiat penes aliquod multiplex
11. U. terminus aequivocus sua significata copulativa vel disjunctive [...] significet

pp. 43–44 Anonymus Pragensis, *Quaest. SE*, questions 4, 8, 10, 25 and 36 should have the following titles:

4. U. in hac scientia sophistica sive in hoc libro subjectum sit elenchus vel syllogismus sophisticus
8. U. significatio sit forma substantialis vocis
10. U. una vox aequivoca significet plura respectu unius audientis
25. De veritate huius propositionis 'quinque sunt duo et tria' cum copulato praedicato
36. Quando concluditur utraque pars contradictionis, sc. dicendo 'Medea diligit' per hoc medium quod ipsa est mater, et 'Medea non diligit' quia interfectrix, utrum sit hic unus elenchus vel duo

CIMAGL 13 (1974)
p. 47, line 189 qua –> quae

CIMAGL 14 (1975)
pp. 27-39 Boethius' *De summo bono* is now available in the edition by Niels Jørgen Green-Pedersen, *Corpus Philosophorum Danicorum Medii Aevi* VI.2, Copenhagen 1976.

pp. 40-46 The questions on Arist. Cat. ascribed to Peter of Auvergne have now been edited by R. Andrews in *CIMAGL* 55-56 (1987-88)

CIMAGL 16 (1976)
See addenda & corrigenda in *CIMAGL* 34 (1979) xxxix and 37 (1981) 4

CIMAGL 19 (1976)
The works of Petrus Philomena and of Petrus de Sancto Audomaro have now been edited by Fritz Saaby Pedersen in *Corpus Philosophorum Danicorum Medii Aevi* X.1-2, Copenhagen 1983-84

CIMAGL 25 (1978)
Petrus Philomena's treatise has been re-edited by Fritz Saaby Pedersen in *Corpus Philosophorum Danicorum Medii Aevi* X.1, Copenhagen 1983

CIMAGL 25 (1978)
Petrus de Sancto Audomaro's treatise has been re-edited by Fritz Saaby Pedersen in *Corpus Philosophorum Danicorum Medii Aevi* X.2, Copenhagen 1984

CIMAGL 34 (1979)

p. xvii A more complete list of *Elenchi* commentaries and treatises on fallacies may be found in S. Ebbesen, ‘Commentaries and Glosses on Aristotelian Logical Texts. Western Europe: the Twelfth and Thirteenth centuries’, forthcoming in *Warburg Institute Surveys and Texts*.

p. xxxix, mid-page The attempt to find a Greek origin for the discussion of *expositio* and *determinatio* is misguided, as the example used by Anon. Aurel. I on p. 14 comes from Priscian XVII.6.43
CIMAGL 34 (1979) ctd

p. xxxix, bottom of page. For a better text of the quotations from Simon
of Faversham, see S.Ebbesen, Th. Izbicki, J.Longeway, F. del Punta,
E.Stump (eds.): Simon of Faversham, Quaestiones super Libro
p. 11, line 6 Sed minis -> Sed nimis
p. 11, line 22 ad contradictori elenchus -> ad contradictoriam elenchus
p. 14, line 6 from bottom arator -> aratro [Cf. Prisc. Inst. 17.6.43 (GL 3:
134): ‘quis utilis est aratro?’ ‘bos’]
p. 69, line 2 from bottom nugatioriam -> nulatoriam
p. 79, lines 8-7 from bottom: Delete eos vero qui sunt in dictionem,
p. 99, line 14 Et ita: amphibolia -> Et ita amphibolia
p. 99, line 18 es altera -> ex altera
p. 140, line 3 from bottom causat -> creat

CIMAGL 37 (1981)
p. 10, lines 22-23 theory and demonstration -> theory of demonstration
p. 18, line 3 from bottom +participium+ -> partitivum (this correction is
conjectural, the ms does read participium)
p. 20, apparatus 7 e (ante quibus) -> 7 e (ante quibus)

CIMAGL 43 (1982)
p.48, line 11 "-v before consonant, no -v before vowel" -> "-v before
vowel, no -v before consonant"

CIMAGL 44 (1983)
The paper on pp. 122-150 is, of course, by Katherine Tachau, not
'Tauchau'.

CIMAGL 46 (1983)
See addenda & corrigenda in CIMAGL 47 (1984) 142-143. Further:
p. 16, lines 34-35 vel cursum de falso cum dicitur 'falsus est currens' ->
vel cursum de fluvio cum dicitur 'fluvius est currens'. (Correction
due to L.M. de Rijk).
p. 31 Delete the line of x's at bottom of page.

Cf. also the passage from Simon of Tournai quoted in Cimago 47 (1984) 142-143.

CIMAGL 49 (1985) et al.

p. 105, line 15 'pater convenit dum filio -> 'pater convenit cum filio
p. 123, line 11 dilectionem duam -> dilectionem tuam
p. 114, line 11 est auctor filii' -> 'est auctor filii'
p. 132, last line convertibile -> convertibile
p. 201, line 18 res quae non est passibilis, -> res quae non est passibilis',
p. 204, lines 16 from top and 5 from bottom hon homo -> non homo

CIMAGL 53 (1986)

p. 37, # 1 The paper referred to as forthcoming has been published as:
p. 45, end of section 20 Incomplete apparatus for P and S -> Incomplete apparatus for P and B.

CIMAGL 54 (1987)
The correct date of this issue is 1987, not 1986 as the title page (p.1) suggests.

CIMAGL 55 (1987)

p. 116, mid-page A part of the quotation from Michael of Ephesus (CAG 2.3: 66.14-15) has fallen out. It ought to run ΛΕΓΩ καὶ τὴν αἰτίαν καθ' ἢν παρά τῶν συμπτῶν ἀπαθίμεθα.

pp. 129-130 For a comprehensive list of Latin texts on fallacies from the 12th and 13th centuries, see S.Ebbesen, 'Commentaries and Glosses on Aristotelian Logical Texts. Western Europe: the Twelfth and Thirteenth centuries', forthcoming in Warburg Institute Surveys and Texts.


p. 167, line 8 aiacens -> adiacens
p. 167, mid-page Ad alim. -> Ad aliam.

Commentaries on the Aristotle's remarks -> commentaries on Aristotle's remarks